UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,951	01/26/2004	David G. Miller	US030082	9296
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 02/06/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 300	1	KASZTEJNA, MATTHEW JOHN		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	02/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	l
7	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/764,951	MILLER, DAVID G.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matthew J. Kasztejna	3739				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 No	ovember 2006.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 January 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	αιστι Αμμισαιίστι				

Application/Control Number: 10/764,951

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Notice of Amendment

In response to the amendment filed on November 11, 2006, amended claims 1, 9, 15 and 21 are acknowledged. The current rejections of the claims are *withdrawn*. The following new grounds of rejection are set forth:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,749,606 to Keast et al.

In regard to claims 1, 9, 15 and 21, Keast et al. disclose an endoscopic imaging apparatus—comprising: an endoscope including a distal end; at least one ultrasound transducer 606 contained within said distal end; and an outer protective shell 610 directly covering said distal end and fabricated from an electrically insulating material having a thermal conductance greater than 1 W/M-°K overlaying at least a portion of said distal end (see Figs 5a-d and Col. 15, Line 56 – Col. 16, Line 61). Keast et al. dislose a method of using the apparatus (see Col. 6, Lines 45-65 and Col.15, Lines 25-67), thus meeting the limitations recited method claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,951

Art Unit: 3739

In regard to claim 2, Keast et al. disclose an endoscopic imaging apparatus further comprising: controls for controlling the movement of the distal end; a signal processor for processing received signals from said at least one ultrasound transducer; and means for energizing the at least one ultrasonic transducer (see Fig. 5a and Col. 15, Lines 56-67).

In regard to claim 3, Keast et al. disclose an endoscopic imaging apparatus, wherein said covering is in thermal contact with the at least one ultrasound transducer (see Fig. 5a).

In regard to claims 4-5, 12-13 and 16-17, Keast et al. disclose an endoscopic imaging apparatus, wherein said material is non-toxic (see Col. 16, Lines 5-61).

In regard to claims 6, 10 and 18, Keast et al. disclose an endoscopic imaging apparatus, wherein said material is ceramic (see Col. 16, Lines 5-61).

In regard to claims 7-8, 11, 14 and 19-20, Keast et al. disclose an endoscopic imaging apparatus, wherein said material comprises an Alumina-based ceramic (see Col. 12, Lines 50-51). Keast et al. teaches that combinations of specific embodiments or combinations of the specific embodiments are within the scope of the invention.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3739

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Kasztejna whose telephone number is (571) 272-6086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,951

Art Unit: 3739

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJK N

1/31/7

Linda C. M. Dvorak Sugerviscom patent examiner Geolip 8700